THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

&

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K. C. BHANU

-

WRIT PETITION NO.23939 OF 2005

Dated: 14.11.2005

Between:

Sulochana Sharma W/o Vishnu Sharma

..Petitioner

And

The Station House Officer, Women Police Station, South

Zone, Rangareddy District and others.

.. Respondents.

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

&

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K. C. BHANU

-

WRIT PETITION NO.23939 OF 2005

ORAL ORDER: (Per Hon'ble Smt. Justice T. Meena Kumari)

A telegram dated 20.10.2005 sent by the petitioner seeking a direction to the respondents to take action against her daughter-in-law-Santosh Sharma D/o Rajender and the constables of Women Police Station, who illegally trespassed into her house, while the petitioner was alone and took away jewellery, cash and valuable articles from the cup Board by threatening her.

The 1st respondent filed a counter-affidavit stating that in pursuance of the search warrant issued by the learned XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Hyderabad along with list of Jahez articles, the Woman Sub-Inspector of his police station along with other police constables went to the house of the petitioner on 19.10.2005 and seized 22 articles identified by the defacto-complainant-Santosh Sharma, who is none other than the daughter-in-law of the petitioner herein, in Crl.M.P.No.3914 of 2005 in C.C.No.236 of 2003 out of 32 articles, under the cover of panchanama. It is stated that though a copy of the seizure report was furnished to the petitioner, she refused to acknowledge the receipt of the same.

It is also submitted across the Bar by the learned Advocate General that the allegations made by the petitioner are false and baseless, and in order to execute the search warrant issued by the learned XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad in the above C.C., Woman Sub-Inspector of Police and other police Constables went to the house of the petitioner and seized the articles as ordered by the learned Magistrate.

In view of the statement made by the learned Advocate General and in view of averments in the counter-affidavit that in pursuance of the search warrant issued by the learned Magistrate in the above C.C., the articles were seized from the house of the petitioner, we feel that no further orders are necessary in this writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

_____

Justice T. Meena Kumari

_____

Justice K.C. Bhanu

Date: 14.11.2005

Nn